

Declaration of Compliance pursuant to DTR 7.2 and LR 9.8.7R

As an overseas company with a premium listing on the London Stock Exchange, TUI AG’s Executive Board and Supervisory Board are obliged pursuant to No. 7.2 DTR and LR 9.8.7R to make a statement on the application of the UK Corporate Governance Code (UK CGC). Since the German Corporate Governance Code also applies to TUI AG as a stock corporation under German law, TUI AG had announced at the time of its merger with TUI Travel PLC that it would also comply with the UK CGC to the extent practicable.

+ <https://www.frc.org.uk/getattachment/88bd8c45-50ea-4841-95b0-d2f4f48069a2/2018-UK-Corporate-Governance-Code-FINAL.PDF>

In many respects, the requirements of the DCGK and the UK Code are similar. However, there are certain aspects that are not compatible, which are explained below. Therefore some deviations from Code requirements and best practice in the UK have been necessary.

Under the German Stock Corporation Act, the legislation applicable to TUI AG, a two-tier board system is mandatory (see below section ‘Functioning of the Executive and Supervisory Board’ on page 113). The two-tier board structure is different to the UK unitary board structure on which the UK Code is based. Some of the principles of composition and operation of the boards of a German stock corporation also differ from those of a UK company (for example, there is no Company Secretary). For this reason, the Executive Board and the Supervisory Board have set out below in which areas the UK Code is not complied with and explained the reasons for the deviations. In addition, the Executive Board and the Supervisory Board have also explained those instances where they consider TUI AG not to be compliant with the UK Code in the literal sense but where it lives up to the spirit and meaning of the respective regulation.

Sub-headings refer to sections of the UK Code for ease of reference for investors.

WORDING OF THE UK CORPORATE GOVERNANCE STATEMENT 2021

‘Executive Board and Supervisory Board declare pursuant to DTR 7.2 and LR 9.8.7R:

Throughout the reporting period, TUI AG has complied with the provisions of the UK Code in the version of July 2018, including its main principles, except as set out and explained below.’

Place of publication:

→ www.tuigroup.com/en-en/investors/corporate-governance

DIALOGUE WITH SHAREHOLDERS (PROVISION 3)

It is still not widespread practice in German companies for committee chairs to make themselves available for meetings with shareholders. The German Corporate Governance Code stipulates in the Suggestion A.3 that the Chairman of the Supervisory Board should be available – within reasonable limits – to discuss Supervisory Board-related issues with investors.

The table below provides an overview of all appointments of the Executive Board with shareholders, in some of which also employees of Investor Relations participated.

Dialogue with shareholders		
Date	Meeting	Participants
December 2020	Financial year 2020 Results Presentation	FJ, BC, SE
	virtual Roadshow UK	FJ, BC, SE
January 2021	EGM (virtual)	FJ, SE
	virtual Deal Roadshows	FJ, SE
	virtual Commerzbank German Investment Seminar	SE
	virtual UniCredit/Kepler Cheuvreux 20th German Corporate Conference	SE
February 2021	FY21 Q1 Results Presentation (virtual)	FJ, SE
March 2021	AGM (virtual)	FJ, SE
May 2021	FY21 H1 Results Presentation	FJ, SE
	virtual Roadshow London	FJ, SE
	virtual Roadshow Frankfurt/Zurich	FJ, SE
	virtual Roadshow Paris	SE
June 2021	virtual dbAccess Berlin Conference	SE
August 2021	FY21 Q3 Results Presentation	FJ, SE
	Stifel 5th Transportation Conference	SE
September 2021	Commerzbank virtual Sector Conference	SE
	Berenberg & Goldman Sachs virtual German Corporate Conference	SE
	Bernstein virtual Strategic Decision Conference	FJ, SE

Key: Friedrich Joussen (FJ), Birgit Conix (BC), Sebastian Ebel (SE)

The Supervisory Board receives feedback from the Chairman and Executive Board members following meetings with major shareholders or investors. Additionally, a monthly Investor Relations Report and event-driven assessments of brokers are forwarded to the Executive Board and the Supervisory Board. They contain updates on the share price development, analyses of the shareholder structure as well as purchases and sales of shares and feedback and assessments from investors. The Executive Board and the Supervisory Board consider that TUI AG lives up to the spirit and meaning of the UK Code.

INDEPENDENCE OF SUPERVISORY BOARD MEMBERS (PROVISION 10)

Under the UK Code, the Board must identify in the annual report each non-executive director it considers to be ‘independent’ for the purposes of the UK Code. Based on the responsibilities assigned to the Supervisory Board by the German Stock Corporation Act, the members of the Supervisory Board are considered to be non-executive directors for the purposes of the UK Code. Under the UK Code, persons are ‘independent’ if they are independent in character and judgement and if there are no relationships or circumstances which are likely to affect, or could appear to affect, their judgement. TUI AG does not, however, extend its independence disclosures to its 10 employee representatives on the Supervisory Board (for a detailed explanation of shareholder and employee representatives and the underlying considerations, please see below).

The Supervisory Board has determined that five of its nine shareholder representatives (the Chairman is not taken into account according to the UK Code) are independent for the purposes of the UK Code. The shareholder representatives considered to be independent are: Ms Ingrid-Helen Arnold, Prof. Dr Edgar Ernst, Ms María Garaña Corces, Ms Janina Kugel and Ms Coline McConville. Additionally, the Chairman, Dr Dieter Zetsche, was independent on election in 2019 and is still considered independent (Dr Dieter Zetsche also was independent when he was elected to the Supervisory Board in February 2018).

Prof. Dr Ernst has been a member of the Supervisory Board of TUI AG since 9 February 2011. According to the UK Code, it is an indication of a lack of independence if a member has been on the supervisory board for more than nine years; according to the DCGK, it is an indication of a lack of independence from the management board and the company if a member has been on the supervisory board for more than twelve years. In view of this, the shareholder representatives on the Supervisory Board have taken a close look at how they assess Prof. Dr Ernst's independence. In particular in view of Prof. Dr Ernst's professional career, the shareholder representatives have come to the conclusion that Prof. Dr Ernst – also taking into account his membership on the Supervisory Board of TUI AG of over ten years – provides the necessary critical distance from the Executive Board and the Company and therefore consider him to be independent. Prof. Dr Ernst has exhibited his critical distance from the Executive Board and the Company in the past, especially in his position as Chairman of the Audit Committee.

The members of the Supervisory Board not considered to be independent for the purposes of the UK Code are Dr Jutta Dönges, Mr Vladimir Lukin, Mr Alexey Mordashov, and Mr Joan Trían Riu.

In reaching its determination, the Supervisory Board has considered, in particular, the factors set out below.

SHAREHOLDER AND EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATIVES

The Supervisory Board of TUI AG consists of ten members who are elected by shareholders at AGM (the 'Shareholder Representatives') and ten members who represent the employees of TUI AG (the 'Employee Representatives'). This differs from UK practice where only those board members representing major shareholders are typically referred to as 'Shareholder Representatives' and are not considered independent under the UK Code because of their link to a significant shareholder.

At TUI AG, the shareholder representative Mr Joan Trían Riu (Riu Hotels, approx. 3.55 % of the voting rights) is linked to a major shareholder. Mr Alexey Mordashov notified us on 21 June 2019 that his share in the total voting rights in TUI AG had fallen to zero. At the same time, the company was informed that the corresponding approx. 32 % of the voting rights are held via Unifirm Ltd. controlled by KN-Holding LLC and that Mr Mordashov indirectly holds 35 % of the shares in Unifirm Ltd. Unifirm Ltd. is considered a controlling shareholder under the UK Listing Rules Appendix 1 (see also the following section). There is also a joint venture between TUI AG and Riu Hotels S. A. Dr Jutta Dönges is Managing Director of the Finance Agency GmbH of the Federal Republic of Germany. On 4 January 2021, TUI AG entered into Framework Agreement with the Economic Stabilisation Fund (WSF) represented by Finance Agency GmbH regarding the WSF's entry into the silent participations and the further measures under the stabilisation package. Dr Dönges was nominated by the

WSF for membership of the Supervisory Board of TUI AG. Mr Vladimir Lukin is a Special Advisor to the CEO of OOO Severgroup and is therefore associated with Mr Mordashov. Therefore, neither Dr Dönges, Mr Mordashov, Mr Lukin nor Mr Trían Riu are considered independent for the purposes of the UK Code.

On 15 December 2020, TUI AG and Unifirm Ltd. entered into a Relationship Agreement which has been in effect since Unifirm Ltd. holds 30 % or more of the shares in the company and is therefore deemed to be a controlling shareholder within the meaning of LR Appendix 1. The main purpose of the Relationship Agreement is to ensure that the company and its subsidiaries are able to conduct their business independently. In this context, pursuant to LR 9.8.4 (14), the Executive Board and the Supervisory Board declare that TUI AG complies with the requirements of the Listing Rules with regard to the controlling shareholder and that, as far as TUI AG is aware, Unifirm Ltd. both complies with these requirements itself and ensures that its affiliates comply with them.

Seven of the ten employee representatives of the Supervisory Board are elected by the employees of TUI Group entitled to vote. Three employee representatives are nominated by a German trade union.

Under the UK Code, directors who are or have been employees of the Group in the last five years or who participate in the Group's pension arrangements would generally not be considered independent. In the UK, directors with an employment relationship are normally current or former executives. By contrast, under German law, employee representatives of the Supervisory Board must be employees of the Group, and must be elected by the employees without any involvement of the Executive or Supervisory Boards. Furthermore, the employment contract of employee representatives may only be terminated in exceptional cases.

The employee representatives may also participate in Group pension schemes as is normal for employees and in their capacity as employees.

Trade union representatives are nominated, and employed by the trade union but are still classified as employee representatives. They can only be removed from the Supervisory Board by their respective union and neither the Executive nor the Supervisory Board has any role in their appointment or removal.

HALF THE BOARD SHOULD BE INDEPENDENT NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS (PROVISION 11)

As mentioned above, TUI AG's Supervisory Board consists of ten employee and ten shareholder representatives. As the employee representatives are not considered independent under the UK Code, TUI AG's Supervisory Board comprises five (excluding the Chairman of the Supervisory Board) independent shareholder representatives.

IDENTIFICATION OF SENIOR INDEPENDENT DIRECTOR (PROVISION 12)

Under German law and the DCGK, there is no concept of a 'Senior Independent Director'. Instead, shareholders may raise any issues at the Annual General Meeting (AGM). In this forum, the Executive Board and the Chairman of the Supervisory Board are available to address any issues and are legally obliged to provide adequate responses.

Outside the AGM, shareholders may approach the Executive Board, in particular the CEO or the CFO, or, for topics relating to Supervisory Board matters, the Chairman of the Supervisory Board or his Deputy. Mr Frank Jakobi, as employee representative, is Deputy Chairman in accordance with the German Co-Determination Act.

DIVISION OF RESPONSIBILITIES – CHAIRMAN & CHIEF EXECUTIVE (PROVISION 14)

The separation of the roles of the Chairman of the Supervisory Board (Dr Dieter Zetsche) and the CEO (Mr Friedrich Jousen) is clearly defined under German law as part of the two-tier board structure. Therefore, no further division of their responsibilities as well as responsibilities of the Executive Board and the Supervisory Board is required or even possible. In addition, the division of responsibilities within the Executive Board and the Supervisory Board as well as its committees also results directly from legislation and the respective terms of reference. Therefore, the Executive Board and the Supervisory Board consider that TUI AG lives up to the spirit and meaning of the UK Code.

ADVICE AND SERVICE OF THE COMPANY SECRETARY (PROVISION 16)

There is no specific role of Company Secretary in German companies. However, Executive and Supervisory Board members have access to the Board Office of TUI AG if they need any advice on all governance matters or other services. The Board Office acts as an interface in corporate matters for the Executive and Supervisory Board members and is responsible for ensuring that the requisite processes and procedures are in place governing all Executive and Supervisory Board meetings (i.e. preparation of agendas, minuting of meetings and ensuring compliance with German and UK law, as appropriate, and with recommendations for corporate governance). The Board Office also supports the Chairman of the Supervisory Board, the CEO, the CFO and the Chairmen of the Audit and the Strategy Committees. Executive and Supervisory Board members also have access to legal advice via the Group Director Legal, Compliance & Board Office and via the Board Office. The Supervisory Board can also approach the Executive Board directly for specific advice on any matters. Accordingly, the Executive Board and the Supervisory Board consider that TUI AG lives up to the spirit and meaning of the UK Code.

NOMINATION COMMITTEE – COMPOSITION AND RESPONSIBILITIES (PROVISION 17)

The role of the Nomination Committee in a typical UK company is fulfilled in TUI AG by two Committees of the Supervisory Board:

Under the Terms of Reference for the Supervisory Board and its Committees (which are equivalent to the Terms of Reference of a British corporation) the Nomination Committee considers and proposes suitable candidates as shareholder representatives to the Supervisory Board for its election proposals to the AGM. The Presiding Committee determines the requirements and remuneration for any new appointments to the Executive Board and recommends suitable candidates to the Supervisory Board. On that basis, the Supervisory Board appoints Executive Board members. This approach is different from the UK where all director appointments are approved by shareholders at the AGM. Succession planning for management levels below Executive Board is carried out by the Executive Board.

However, as is common practice in Germany, at each AGM shareholders are asked to decide whether they approve the actions of the Executive Board and Supervisory Board members during the past financial year. Since the AGM 2015, in the light of UK practice, TUI AG has changed its procedure to allow a separate vote on each individual Executive Board and Supervisory Board member, as it is customary in the UK.

TUI AG intends to continue this practice. Accordingly, the Supervisory Board considers that TUI AG lives up to the spirit and meaning of the UK Code to the extent practicable.

ANNUAL RE-ELECTION BY SHAREHOLDERS AT THE AGM (PROVISION 18)

None of the Executive or Supervisory Board members is re-elected annually. However, as noted above, in light of the UK Code and UK best practice, TUI AG voluntarily puts individual resolutions approving the actions of each Executive and Supervisory Board member to the AGM resolving on the annual financial statements for the previous year. TUI AG intends to continue this practice.

The end of appointment periods for Supervisory Board members are disclosed in the table from page 105.

→ *Current curricula vitae of all Executive and Supervisory Board members are published at www.tuigroup.com/en-en/investors/corporate-governance.*

BOARD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (PRINCIPLE L AND PROVISION 21)

The performance of each individual Executive Board member is evaluated annually by the Supervisory Board for the annual performance-based remuneration. In this context, the Supervisory Board also reviews the individual member's overall performance as part of the Executive Board. However, no external performance evaluation is done for the Executive Board.

It is not customary to conduct annual reviews of the Supervisory Board's efficiency. Each Supervisory Board member can give feedback to the Chairman, the Deputy Chairman or the Supervisory Board as a whole as and when appropriate or required.

External evaluation, which includes the work of the Chairman of the Supervisory Board, is performed by means of individual interviews and anonymous reviews. Executive Board members are invited to contribute to the process. Consolidated results are shared with the entire Supervisory Board and appropriate actions are suggested and discussed as appropriate. The last external review of the Supervisory Board was undertaken in 2015 by Board Consultants International. Board Consultants International has no other connection with TUI AG. Due to the forthcoming change in the chairmanship of the Supervisory Board, an internal efficiency audit was conducted at the end of 2018, which was accompanied by a notary of GÖHMANN Rechtsanwälte und Notare to ensure anonymity. At its last meeting on 12 September 2019, the Supervisory Board, now chaired by Dr Dieter Zetsche, dealt with the measures derived from the results of the efficiency audit. Due to the change in the chairmanship of the Supervisory Board, no efficiency review was planned for 2019. Rather, the Supervisory Board concentrated on implementing the measures derived from the efficiency review. The Supervisory Board discussed this issue and decided to return to the subject of

external efficiency audits in 2020, after an appropriate number of meetings had been held under the chairmanship of Dr Dieter Zetsche. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the efficiency audit (currently under the DCGK self-assessment) was conducted internally at the end of September 2020. The Presiding Committee and the Supervisory Board have subsequently dealt with the results and derived measures from them. These primarily concern the work of the Supervisory Board, the organisation of the meetings and the main topics the Supervisory Board would like to deal with in more detail.

NOMINATION COMMITTEE – SECTION IN THE ANNUAL REPORT (PROVISION 23)

For the activities of the Nomination Committee, see page 16 which is part of the Chairman’s letter to shareholders. The succession planning approach is outlined on page 112. The policy on diversity and inclusion can be found on page 116. For evaluation of the performance of the board, see above.

COMPOSITION OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE (PROVISION 24)

Neither German law nor the German Corporate Governance Code stipulates that the Chairman of the Supervisory Board should not be a member of the audit committee and that the audit committee may only consist of independent members. The audit committee consists of Dr Zetsche as Chairman of the Supervisory Board, Dr Dönges and Mr Lukin, who are not considered to be independent. TUI AG therefore does not fully meet the requirements of the UK CGC, but is of the opinion that the current composition of the audit committee ensures reliable work based on experience.

FAIR, BALANCED AND UNDERSTANDABLE ANNUAL REPORT & ACCOUNTS (PROVISION 27)

In a German stock corporation the Executive Board is responsible for drafting the Annual Report & Accounts (ARA). According to section 243 (2) of the German Commercial Act (HGB) the ARA must be clearly arranged and should present a realistic picture of the Company’s economic situation. This is equivalent to the UK Code requirement for the ARA to be fair, balanced and understandable. Although this assessment has not been delegated to the Audit Committee, the Executive Board is convinced that this ARA satisfies both requirements.

ESTABLISHED AND OPERATION OF REMUNERATION COMMITTEE (PROVISION 32, 34 AND 41)

In the German governance structure there is no separate Remuneration Committee. The remuneration of the Executive Board is under involvement of the employee representatives monitored and agreed by the Supervisory Board based on recommendations from the Presiding Committee, which is governed by the Supervisory Board Terms of Reference.

The remuneration of the members of the Supervisory Board and the members of the Supervisory Board Committees is governed by the Articles of Association as resolved on by the shareholders at the AGM.

See the Directors’ Remuneration Report from page 121 for full details on Executive and Supervisory Board member’s remuneration and our website.

 www.tuigroup.com/en-en/investors/corporate-governance

POLICY FOR POST-EMPLOYMENT SHAREHOLDING REQUIREMENTS (PROVISION 36)

Neither German law nor the German Corporate Governance Code requires the company to implement a policy for post-employment shareholding requirements. According to the remuneration system approved by the Annual General Meeting in 2021, no policy is provided for post-employment shareholding requirements.

NOTICE PERIODS FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS (PROVISION 39)

In accordance with the customary practice in Germany members of the Executive Board are generally appointed for a term of three to five years. The appointments of respective members of the Executive Board was extended by three years in financial year 2020. This is not yet fully in line with the UK CGC recommendation that notice periods or contract terms should be set at one year or less. However, the contracts include maximum limits on the amounts payable on termination.

 [See Remuneration Report from page 128.](#)

Further information on Corporate Governance

FUNCTIONING OF THE EXECUTIVE AND SUPERVISORY BOARDS

TUI AG is a company under German law. One of the fundamental principles of German stock corporation law is the dual management system involving two bodies, the Executive Board in charge of managing the company and the Supervisory Board in charge of monitoring the company. TUI AG’s Executive Board and Supervisory Board cooperate closely and in a spirit of trust in managing and overseeing the Company, with strict separation between the two bodies in terms of their membership and competences. Both bodies are obliged to ensure the continued existence of the Company and sustainable creation of added value in harmony with the principles of the social market economy.

TUI AG’s Executive Board comprised six members as at the closing date 30 September 2021. The Executive Board is responsible for managing the Company’s business operations in the interests of the Company. The Executive Board works on the basis of terms of reference issued by the Supervisory Board. The allocation of functions and responsibilities to individual Board members is presented in a separate section.

 [For functions, see tables ‘Supervisory Board and Executive Board’ on page 105.](#)

In accordance with the law and the Articles of Association, the Supervisory Board had 20 members at the balance sheet date, i.e. 30 September 2021. As the oversight body, the Supervisory Board provided on-going advice and supervision for the Executive Board in managing the Company in financial year 2021, as required by the law, the Articles of Association and its own Terms of Reference. The Supervisory Board is involved in strategic and planning decisions and all decisions of fundamental importance to the Company. When the Executive Board takes decisions on major transactions, such as the annual budget, major acquisitions or divestments, it is required by its terms of reference to seek the approval of the Supervisory Board. The Chairman

of the Supervisory Board coordinates the work in the Supervisory Board, chairs its meetings and represents the concerns of the body externally. The Supervisory Board and the Audit Committee have adopted terms of reference for their own work. The Terms of Reference of the Supervisory Board are available on the company's website.

[→](#) *For further details, please refer to the Report of the Supervisory Board on page 11.*